Building a service-oriented platform for online physiological data analysis

M. Colom http://mcolom.info

CMLA, ENS-Cachan





Reproducible Research

- **Redefine** the product of research:
 - Article, source code, data



- Why do we need it? → Trust results
- **Applicable** to all disciplines? Cosmology, Biology, Computer Science...?
- What if we <u>combine</u> RR with <u>Clinic Research</u>?

SmartAlgo

- A new platform for RR in algorithms applied to Clinical Research
- A joint project



- Which kind of medical problems/algorithms?
 - Balance and movement
 - Eye tracking (Infantile Nystagmus Syndrome, Spasmus Nutans-type nystagmus)
 - ...



→ Online prototype demo: Animated Statos

SmartAlgo: Oculo project

Quantitative assessment in daily clinic



Tablet





Data processing center







IR recording

Similar projects

IPOI



An Algorithmic Analysis of Variational Models for Perceptual Local Contrast Enhancement

Run My Code



Some differences...

- **IPOL** is a **full RR journal**, with **peer-reviewed** article and source code. The demos are a valuable tool, but not peer-reviewed.
- The aim of RunMyCode is to give **visibility** to the results of the research. They publish **non-peer-reviewed** source code and data.
- SmartAlgo is somewhere in the middle.
 - Peer-reviewed
 - A **platform** for **clinical research**. Not just a repository of code or demos
 - Data is <u>real</u> and come from actual physiological signals obtained with sensors
 - Data needs to be standardized because of the different kinds of sensors (for example: different sampling rates, formats, etc)
 - Validated and annotated data





A technical challenge?



- Of course. It's a **complex** system which includes:
 - Signal preprocessing and standardization
 - Multiple kind of signals
 - Annotation of signals
 - Storing and retrieving efficiently all the information
 - Complex interface interactions (web, tablets)
 - Etc.
- So, the main difficulties are **technical**?**NO**

An agreement challenge!



- It's mainly an **agreement problem**, not just technical
 - Physicians normally are far away from algorithms, mathematics, formal methods
 - Mathematicians and engineers are not familiar with neurological pathologies or diagnostic methods
 - (Of course!)
 - But the problem needs a **multidisciplinary** approach to apply advanced techniques of **signal-processing** and **machine-learning** to obtain results in **clinical research**.
 - But physicians and mathematicians/engineers usually talk very different languages.



A dual point of view

- It's the same problems, but seen from different angles
- For example,



- Physicians interested in: fall assessment, balance of patients, eye tracking, walk of patients, ...
- Mathematicians/engineers interested in: models, classification, regularization, generalization, automatic learning, ...
- **Problem**: *which kind graphical interface should be show?* Something in the middle?
- Solution:
 - Each user has a role (physician, mathematician/engineer)
 - The graphical interface first matches the general role
 - But it must be **adaptive**: it should be *customizable* and remember the preferences of the user.
 - Why this way? Two "different worlds", but the same problem \rightarrow They should **converge**.



Only an "agreement problem"?

- Not only!
- Other issues, very particular of this project



Other issues: input data

- Real data from physiological signals
 - Sometimes incomplete
 - Might be inaccurate
 - Characteristics of the sensor might be undocumented
 - Many different captors and devices
 - Need to preprocess the input data
 - Need to **standardize** all data in a **common format**



Other issues: privacy

- It's data from real patients!
- This kind of data can not be
 - Stored
 - Made public
 - ...
- Very strict usage conditions
- Legal framework:
 - l'article 8 de la convention europeenne de sauvegarde des droits de l'homme
 - la directive 95/46ce
 - la loi du 6 janvier 1978
 - le decret n°2006-6 du 4 janvier 2006 sur l'hebergement de donnees de sante a caractere personnel sur support informatique
 - l'ordonnance n°2010-177 du 23 fevrier 2010 article 19
 - ...
- So? Any solution?
 - We're within the special case of clinical research:
 - Low-level signals
 - Need to anonymize data, absolutely



Development cycle

- Designing usable interfaces and proper data visualizators is difficult:
 - Physicians and mathematicians/engineers have **different interests**
 - It's difficult to have an idea of a new system until you see a usable prototype
 - Even **designing** and **modifying** a prototype is **expensive** in terms of **time** and **human resources**



Our proposal: User eXperience Design (UXD)

- **Interviews** with the physicians to understand their needs and the particular problems in their field
- The same with mathematicians/engineers
- Imagine use scenarios
- Design wireframe or mockup interfaces → Show ours
- **Discuss** these interfaces with the users
- Iterate the prototypes until agreement
- When agreement: write **better prototypes** (real HTML5/CSS), **integrate code**, **iterate**.

At which point are we now?



- Designing use scenarios
- Writing machine-learning and signalprocessing algorithms
- Designing adaptive user interfaces
- Building a development team → Need of a large team of engineers, in UX, design, machine learning, integration, coding, ... Big project!
 - Antecedents: we have the experience of have been building IPOL at CMLA. But still very different!

What do we expect of SmartAlgo?

<u>Reproducible Research</u>

- Provide Clinical Research with a platform with the best machine-learning and signal-processing algorithms. And data!
- Have **methods** and **data** we can **trust**
- Create a **large network** of clinical and non-medical researcher contributing with data
- Give the **technical means** (**platform**, **data**, **algorithms**) to establish a **Clinical Reproducible Research community**.



Thank you very much for your attention

Miguel Colom

Website: http://mcolom.info Email: miguel@mcolom.info

CMLA, ENS-Cachan